U. S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013	Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to .	
Commission File N	Jumber 000-53127
Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	75-3254381 (I.R.S. employer identification number)
	required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of ant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing Yes No
	cally and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File ring the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
dicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated file See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and	er, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting d'"smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
celerated filer \square (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Accelerated filer \square Smaller reporting company \square
dicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as d	efined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes □No ☑
22, 2013, the issuer had 15,093,812 shares of common stock outstar	nding.
	Commission File N LION BIOTECHY (Exact name of small business i Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 21900 Burbank Blvd, Third Flo (Address of principal execution) (818) 99 (Registrant's telephone number of the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrations for the past 90 days. Clicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronic be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T due submit and post such files). Yes No Clicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated file submit and post such files). Yes No Clicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated file submit and post such files). Yes No Clicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated file submit and post such files). Yes No Clicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated file of the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and the learned filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Clicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as definitions of the present of th

LION BIOTECHNOLOGIES, INC. (formerly Genesis Biopharma, Inc.) (A Development Stage Company) FORM 10-Q For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2013

Table of Contents

		Page
PART I FINAN	CIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Condensed Financial Statements	1
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26
Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	27
PART II OTHE	R INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	27
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	27
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Securities and Use of Proceeds	27
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	27
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure	28
Item 5.	Other Information	28
Item 6.	Exhibits	28
SIGNATURES		29

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc (formerly Genesis Biopharma, Inc.) (A Development Stage Company) Condensed Balance Sheets

		March 31, 2013 (naudited)	De	cember 31, 2012
ASSETS	(0	naudited)		
Current Assets				
Deposits	\$	5,000	\$	5,000
Prepaid Expenses		3,150		2,275
Total Current Assets		8,150		7,275
Property and equipment, net of accumulated				
depreciation of \$10,870 and \$8,915		20,183		22,138
acpreciation of \$10,070 and \$0,010	_	20,103		22,130
Total Assets	\$	28,333	\$	29,413
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable		1,291,718		1,098,271
Accrued expenses		1,863,220		1,740,220
7% convertible promissory notes		5,000,000		5,000,000
12% secured promissory note		1,231,250		1,231,250
September 2012 secured promissory note		250,000		250,000
18% secured convertible promissory note		300,000		-
Accrued interest and penalty		2,370,764		2,029,148
Total Current Liabilities		12,306,952		11,348,889
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' Deficiency				
Common stock, \$0.000041666 par value; 18,000,000 shares authorized,				
818,806 and 818,806 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		34		34
Common stock to be issued, 303,125 shares		245,153		245,153
Additional paid-in capital		19,206,918		19,119,532
Accumulated deficit		(31,730,724)		(30,684,195)
Total Stockholders' Deficiency		(12,278,619)		(11,319,476)
Total Linkilities and Stockholdows' Deficiency	¢	20 222	¢	20 412
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficiency	\$	28,333	\$	29,413

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc (formerly Genesis Biopharma, Inc.) (A Development Stage Company) Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

For the Period from

		For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2013	2013 2012		
Revenues	<u>\$</u>	\$ -	\$ -	
Costs and expenses				
Operating expenses (including \$87,386				
\$1,227,227, and \$16,224,743 of non-cash				
share-based compensation costs)	434,913	2,250,133	26,932,951	
Research and development	270,000	886,000	3,853,045	
Impairment of intangible asset	-	-	160,036	
Total costs and expenses	704,913	3,136,133	30,946,032	
Loss from operations	(704,913)	(3,136,133)	(30,946,032)	
Other income (expense)				
Interest expense	(341,616)	(88,472)	(2,414,832)	
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	-	(2,548,074)	10,001,955	
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	-	-	(5,497,888)	
Private placement costs	-	-	(2,873,927)	
Total other income (expense)	(341,616)	(2,636,546)	(784,692)	
Net Loss	\$ (1,046,529)	\$ (5,772,679)	\$ (31,730,724)	
Net Loss Per Share, Basic and Diluted	\$ 1.28	\$ 7.39		
Weighted-Average Common Shares				
Outstanding, Basic and Diluted	818,806	781,367		

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc (formerly Genesis Biopharma, Inc.)

(A Development Stage Company) Statements of Stockholders' Deficiency (unaudited) For the Period from September 17, 2007 (Date of Inception) through March 31, 2013

	Commo	on Stock Amount	Common Stock to Be Issued	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Deficiency
Balance - December 31, 2012	818,806	\$ 34	245,153 \$	19,119,532	\$ (30,684,195)	\$ (11,319,476)
Fair value of vested stock options				87,386		87,386
Net loss					(1,046,529)	(1,046,529)
Balance - March 31, 2013	818,806	\$ 34	245,153 \$	19,206,918	\$ (31,730,724)	\$ (12,278,619)

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc (formerly Genesis Biopharma, Inc.) (A Development Stage Company) Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

September 17,

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			September 17, 2007 (Date of Inception) through		
	2013	2013 2012			March 31, 2013	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities						
Net loss	\$ (1,046,529)	\$	(5,772,679)	\$	(31,730,724)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in						
operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	1,956		1,552		72,242	
Impairment of intangible asset			-		160,036	
Fair value of vested stock options	87,386		1,177,227		4,523,560	
Fair value of common stock and warrants accounted						
for as financing costs	-		-		2,986,819	
Fair value of vested warrants granted for services	-		-		2,563,647	
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	-		-		5,000,000	
Private placement costs	-		-		385,000	
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities			2,548,074		(10,001,955)	
Common stock issued to officer for services	-		-		8,010,000	
Common stock issued for services			50,000		1,298,452	
Fair value of common stock transferred to officer						
and director	-		-		1,742,037	
Write off of advances to related party	-		-		50,000	
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Deposits, prepaid expenses and other assets	(875)		(20,186)		(8,150)	
Bank overdraft			17,619		-	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and interest and penalty	658,062		372,176		5,525,702	
Accrued expenses - National Institute of Health	<u>-</u>		866,000		<u>-</u>	
Net Cash Used In Operating Activities	(300,000)		(760,217)		(9,423,334)	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities						
Property and equipment	-		-		(35,053)	
Advances to related party	-		-		(50,000)	
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities			_		(85,053)	
O Company of the Comp		_				
Cash Flows From Financing Activities						
Proceeds from the issuance of convertible notes, net	300,000		-		6,396,250	
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	,		250,000		3,094,000	
Due to director	-		-		18,137	
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	300,000	_	250,000		9,508,387	
Net Decrease In Cash And Cash Equivalents	500,000	_	(510,217)	_	3,300,307	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning Of Year	-		510,217)		-	
	<u>-</u>	ď	310,217	¢	_	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End Of Year	\$ -	\$		\$		
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:						
Derivative liability recorded upon issuance of convertible						
notes and warrants	\$ -	\$	182,081	\$	5,535,310	
Derivative liability recorded as offering cost	\$ -	\$	-	\$	1,902,998	
Common stock issued for intellectual property	\$ -	\$	-	\$	217,408	
Forgiveness of debt by director, treated as contribution						
of capital	\$ -	\$	-	\$	18,137	

NOTE 1. GENERAL ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc. (the "Company," "we," "us" or "our") was originally incorporated under the laws of the state of Nevada on September 17, 2007. The Company is considered a development stage company, and has had no revenues from operations to date.

The Company's initial operations included organization, capital formation, target market identification, new product development and marketing plans. The Company has become a biopharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of drugs and other therapies using autologous tumor infiltrating lymphocytes for the treatment of Stage IV metastatic melanoma and other cancers. Our lead product candidate, ContegoTM, is an adoptive cell therapy using autologous tumor infiltrating lymphocytes for the treatment of certain cancers.

On March 15, 2010, the Company (then named Freight Management Corp.) and Genesis Biopharma, Inc., a Nevada corporation and newly formed merger subsidiary wholly owned by the Company ("Merger Sub"), consummated a merger transaction (the "Merger") whereby Merger Sub merged into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation. The Company and Merger Sub filed the Articles of Merger on March 15, 2010 with the Secretary of State of Nevada, along with the Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into by the two parties effective as of March 15, 2010 (the "Merger Agreement"). The Merger Agreement and the Articles of Merger provided for an amendment of the Company's Articles of Incorporation, which changed the Company's name to "Genesis Biopharma, Inc." effective as of March 15, 2010.

On September 26, 2013, the Company changed its name to "Lion Biotechnologies, Inc." and effected a 1-for-100 reverse stock split. Common stock share and per share information contained in this Quarterly Report, including in these unaudited condensed financial statements, has been adjusted to reflect the foregoing stock split as if it occurred at the earliest period presented.

Basis of Presentation of Unaudited Condensed Financial Information

The unaudited condensed financial statements of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Regulation S-K. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. However, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations. Results shown for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for a full fiscal year. The balance sheet information as of December 31, 2012 was derived from the audited financial statements included in the Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on September 23, 2013. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with that report.

Development Stage

We are currently in the development stage. As a development stage company that is currently engaged in the development of therapeutics to fight cancer, we have not yet generated any revenues from our biopharmaceutical business. We currently do not anticipate that we will generate any revenues during 2013 from the sale or licensing of any products. In addition, we have not generated any revenues from our prior business plans.

Going Concern

The accompanying condensed financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has not had any revenue and is still considered to be in the development stage. As shown in the accompanying condensed financial statements, the Company has incurred a net loss of \$1,046,529 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and has used \$299,997 of cash in its operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2013, the Company has a stockholders' deficiency of \$12,278,619. In addition, as described in Notes 3, 4 and 5, the Company is obligated to pay an aggregate of \$6,781,250 in note principal pursuant to convertible notes and promissory notes issued in 2013, 2012 and 2011. A total of \$6,231,250 of these notes are past due and were in default as of March 31, 2013, and the remaining \$550,000 was due on demand. Subsequent to March 31, 2013, these notes were converted into 6,781,250 shares of common stock of the Company (see Note 11).

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to develop additional sources of capital and to ultimately achieve sustainable revenues and profitable operations. As a result, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, in its report on the Company's December 31, 2012 financial statements, has raised substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. At March 31, 2013, the Company has not yet commenced any revenue-generating operations and is dependent on debt and equity funding to finance its operations.

We currently do not have sufficient capital on hand to fund our anticipated on-going operating expenses, and we do not have any bank credit lines or other sources of capital. Accordingly, we will have to obtain additional debt or equity funding in the near future in order to continue our operations. We have not identified the sources for the additional financing that we will require, and we do not have commitments from any third parties to provide this financing. No assurance can be given that we will have access to the capital markets in future, or that financing will be available to us on acceptable terms to satisfy either our short-term future loan repayment obligations or our subsequent on-going cash requirements that we need to implement our business strategies. Our inability to access the capital markets or obtain acceptable financing could force us to terminate our business, abandon our plan to develop ContegoTM, and cease operations.

Because the Company is currently engaged in research at an early stage, it will likely take a significant amount of time to develop any product or intellectual property capable of generating revenues. As such, the Company's business is unlikely to generate any sustainable revenues in the next several years, and may never do so. Even if the Company is able to generate revenues in the future through licensing its technologies or through product sales, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to generate a profit. These factors, coupled with our inability to meet our obligations from current operations, and the need to raise additional capital to accomplish our objectives, create a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

Loss per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all dilutive potential common shares had been issued. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the calculations of basic and diluted loss per share are the same because inclusion of potential dilutive securities in the computation would have an anti-dilutive effect due to the net losses.

The potentially dilutive securities at March 31, 2013 consist of options to acquire 93,750 shares of the Company's common stock, warrants to acquire 108,734 shares of the Company's common stock, 50,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of the 7% secured convertible promissory notes and 3,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of the 18% secured convertible promissory notes.

In May 2013, a significant number of these convertible debt and equity instruments were converted or exchanged to shares of the Company's common stock. See further discussion at Note 11, Subsequent Events.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses various inputs in determining the fair value of certain assets and liabilities and measures these on a recurring basis. Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the balance sheets are categorized by the level of objectivity associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Authoritative guidance provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") defines the following levels directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these financial assets and liabilities:

- Level 1—Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2—Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3—Unobservable inputs based on the Company's assumptions.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company evaluates all of its financial instruments to determine if such instruments are derivatives or contain features that qualify as embedded derivatives. For stock-based derivative financial instruments, the Company used a probability weighted average Black-Scholes-Merton models to value the derivative instruments at inception and on subsequent valuation dates through September 30, 2012. At December 31, 2012, the Company used the assistance of a valuation specialist to determine fair value of the derivative liability, and the same valuation was used at March 31, 2013 as the Company's financial condition and share prices were virtually unchanged from December 31, 2012 (see further discussion at Note 7). The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within twelve months of the balance sheet date.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company periodically issues stock options and warrants to employees and non-employees in non-capital raising transactions for services and for financing costs. The Company accounts for stock option and warrant grants issued and vesting to employees based on the authoritative guidance provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the award is measured on the date of grant and recognized over the vesting period. The Company accounts for stock option and warrant grants issued and vesting to non-employees in accordance with the authoritative guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board whereas the value of the stock compensation is based upon the measurement date as determined at either a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached, or b) at the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instruments is complete. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges generally are amortized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. In certain circumstances where there are no future performance requirements by the non-employee, option grants are immediately vested and the total stock-based compensation charge is recorded in the period of the measurement date.

The fair value of the Company's common stock option grant is estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which uses certain assumptions related to risk-free interest rates, expected volatility, expected life of the common stock options, and future dividends. Compensation expense is recorded based upon the value derived from the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and based on actual experience. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model could materially affect compensation expense recorded in future periods.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. This ASU clarifies which instruments and transactions are subject to the offsetting disclosure requirements established by ASU 2011-11. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning January 1, 2013. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 4, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity" ("ASU 2013-05"). ASU 2013-05 updates accounting guidance related to the application of consolidation guidance and foreign currency matters. This guidance resolves the diversity in practice about what guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. Topic 740, Income Taxes, does not include explicit guidance on the financial statement presented of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. There is diversity in practice in the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits in those instances and the amendments in this update are intended to eliminate that diversity in practice. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Early adoption is permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Other accounting pronouncements did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3. 7% SENIOR SECURED CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTES

On July 27, 2011 the Company completed an offering of \$5,000,000 of its senior secured convertible promissory notes (the "Senior Secured Notes"). The Senior Secured Notes bear an interest of 7% per annum, were originally scheduled to mature on November 30, 2011, and were convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$125.00 per share, subject to adjustment. The terms of the Senior Secured Notes have been amended several times, which amendments extended the maturity date to November 30, 2012. The purchasers of the Senior Secured Notes also received five year, fully vested warrants to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock at \$125.00 per share, subject to adjustment. Net proceeds to the Company from the issuance of the Senior Secured Notes were \$4,615,000 after placement and other direct closing costs.

The conversion price of the Senior Secured Notes and the exercise price of the warrants are subject to adjustment based upon the pricing of subsequent financings undertaken by the Company. The Company has determined that this anti-dilution reset provision caused the conversion feature to be bifurcated from the Senior Secured Notes, treated as a derivative liability, and accounted for at its fair value. Upon issuance, the Company determined the fair value of the beneficial conversion feature was \$1,844,422 and recorded a corresponding discount to the Senior Secured Notes. The Company has also determined that the anti-dilution reset provision of the warrants also is subject to derivative liability treatment and is required to be accounted for at its fair value. Upon issuance, the Company determined the fair value of the warrants was \$3,616,870 and recorded a discount of \$3,155,578 to the Senior Secured Notes, and recognized the remaining amount of \$461,292 as private placement costs in the statement of operations. The total discount to the Senior Secured Notes of \$5,000,000 was amortized in full over the original maturity date of November 30, 2011. See Note 7 for discussion on derivative liability.

In connection with this sale of Senior Secured Notes and warrants, the Company 1) incurred a placement fee of \$350,000 (7% of gross proceeds of the offering), 2) issued five-year warrants to its placement agent to acquire 800 shares of common stock, and 3) paid \$35,000 for legal and escrow services in connection with the issuance of these Senior Secured Notes and warrants. The warrants issued to the placement agent are exercisable at \$125.00 per share, may be exercised on a cashless basis, and contain anti-dilution protection. The Company has determined that this anti-dilution reset provision of the warrants is subject to derivative liability treatment and is required to be accounted for at its fair value. Upon issuance, the Company determined the fair value of the warrants was \$74,018 and recorded a corresponding charge to private placement costs. The aggregate amount of the above costs was \$459,018, and was considered as a cost of the private placement. Total private placement costs recorded for the issuance of convertible debentures was \$920,310.

As of March 31, 2013, the entire \$5,000,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes remained outstanding, and \$774,446 of accrued interest was recorded as part of Accrued interest and penalty in the accompanying condensed balance sheet. As of March 31, 2013, the Senior Secured Notes were in default. As a result of the default, the interest rate on the Senior Secured Notes increased to 18% per annum, and the holders of the Senior Secured Notes have the right to demand that the Company immediately redeem all of the Senior Secured Notes at a price that is the greater than the outstanding balance of the Senior Secured Notes. A default will also permit the holders of the Senior Secured Notes to pursue collection actions against the Company.

In addition, the investors may demand that the Senior Secured Notes be redeemed at a price equal to the greater of (i) 125% of the outstanding balance of the Senior Secured Notes, or (ii) an amount based on 135% of the greatest closing sale price of the Company's common stock during the period beginning on the date of default until the redemption demand. As such, commencing on December 1, 2012 when the Senior Secured Notes became in default, the Company estimated the total redemption price at 125% of the outstanding balance of the Senior Secured Notes and the related balance of accrued interest. As of December 31, 2012, the Company estimated accrued penalties on the outstanding balance of Senior Secured Notes of \$5,000,000 and the related accrued interest of \$549,446 to be \$1,387,361. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 estimated additional penalty of \$56,251, resulting in the balance of accrued penalty of \$1,443,612 as of the period then ended, which was included in the balance of Accrued interest and penalty in the accompanying condensed balance sheets. The additional penalty of \$56,251 was included in interest expense in the accompanying condensed statement of operations of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

In May 2013, pursuant to an Exchange Agreement with the Company's creditors, these notes were exchanged for shares of common stock. See Note 11 Subsequent Events for further discussion.

NOTE 4. 12% SECURED PROMISSORY NOTES

From April to July 2012, we issued an aggregate of \$1,231,250 of our secured promissory notes pursuant to a Note and Common Stock Subscription Agreement (the "Subscription Agreement") with accredited investors (collectively, the "Purchasers").

The notes are secured by all of the Company's assets and bear interest at 12% per annum and mature on the earlier of (i) June 30, 2012, (ii) the date on which the Company has, after May 7, 2012, raised capital (debt or equity) equal to or greater than \$1,500,000 in the aggregate, or (iii) a sale and/or merger of the Company. The repayments of the note were secured with a first lien on all of the assets of the Company, which lien is pari passu with the Company's other current and future senior lenders. In addition, the notes were secured by a pledge of all of the shares of Common Stock and by all Common Stock purchase options owned by person who, at that time, was the Company's chief executive officer/ president. Subsequent to the notes issuance, the note maturity date was amended several times and was extended to December 31, 2012. Except for the change of the maturity date, all of the original terms and conditions of the notes remain in full force and effect. Furthermore, the Subscription Agreements provided that if, at any time while the notes are outstanding, we consummate any equity and/or debt financing whereby the terms of such financing are more favorable than those provided in the 2012 Secured Notes, then the remaining outstanding portion of the loans will be adjusted to have such terms and conditions similar to those of the new financing.

Upon issuance of the notes, the Company was required to issue 615,625 shares of its common stock the Purchasers. The Company determined that the fair value of the common stock was \$497,888 based upon the trading price of the Company's common stock, and recorded a corresponding discount to the Notes. The note discount was amortized in full over the original maturity date of the notes.

As of March 31, 2013, \$1,231,250 remained outstanding and \$128,372 in accrued interest which is recorded as part of Accrued interest and penalty in the accompanying condensed balance sheet.

In May 2013, pursuant to an Exchange Agreement with the Company's creditors, these notes were exchanged for shares of common stock. See Note 11, Subsequent Events, for further discussion.

NOTE 5. SEPTEMBER 2012 SECURED PROMISSORY NOTES

On September 12, 2012, the Company issued a \$250,000 promissory note, which note was thereafter amended. As amended, the note is due on demand, bears an interest of 12% per annum and is secured by the Company's assets. The sale of the promissory note was accompanied by the issuance of a five-year, fully vested warrant to purchase 9,434 shares of common stock at \$125.00 per share. The exercise price of the warrant is subject to certain reset provisions. Total proceeds received amounted to \$228,000, net of legal fees of \$22,000.

The Company has determined that the anti-dilution reset provision of the warrants is subject to derivative liability treatment and is required to be accounted for at its fair value. Upon issuance, the Company determined the fair value of the warrants was \$515,269 and recorded such cost as a private placement costs in the statement of operations since the accompanying promissory note was due on demand. See Note 7 for discussion on derivative liability.

As of March 31, 2013, the entire \$250,000 remains outstanding, together with \$16,584 in accrued interest, which interest is recorded as part of accrued interest and penalty in the accompanying condensed balance sheet.

In May 2013, pursuant to an Exchange Agreement with the Company's creditors, these notes were exchanged for shares of common stock. See Note 11, Subsequent Events, for further discussion.

NOTE 6. 18% SECURED CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTES

In January 2013, the Company issued four (4) eighteen (18%) percent convertible promissory notes in the aggregate amount of \$300,000 (each an "18% Note") that are due upon demand and convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$125.00 per share. The conversion price of the 18% Notes are subject to adjustment based upon the pricing of subsequent financings undertaken by the Company. The Company has determined that this anti-dilution reset provision caused the conversion feature to be bifurcated from the Senior Secured Notes, treated as a derivative liability. As further explained in Note 7, the outstanding conversion features accounted for as derivative upon its issuance had no value. As of March 31, 2013, the circumstances of the Company determined that the Company was in insolvent financial condition. As such, the outstanding conversion features accounted for as derivative upon their issuance have no value at March 31, 2013.

As of March 31, 2013, the entire \$300,000 remains outstanding, together with \$7,750 in accrued interest, which interest is recorded as part of Accrued interest and penalty in the accompanying condensed balance sheet.

In May 2013, pursuant to an Exchange Agreement with the Company's creditors, these notes were exchanged for shares of common stock. See Note 11, Subsequent Events, for further discussion.

NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES

In June 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on determining whether an instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock. Under the authoritative guidance, effective January 1, 2009, instruments which did not have fixed settlement provisions were deemed to be derivative instruments. The convertible notes and warrants issued related to the private placement described in Notes 3, 4, 5 and 6 do not have fixed settlement provisions because their conversion and exercise prices may be lowered if the Company issues securities at lower prices in the future. The conversion feature and warrants have been characterized as derivative liabilities to be re-measured at the end of every reporting period with the change in value reported in the statement of operations.

The Company used the assistance of a valuation specialist due to the complexity in determining the fair value of its derivative liability at December 31, 2012. As a result of the Company's inability to pay its debt obligations, the default status of its convertible promissory notes and lack of available working capital at December 31, 2012, for valuation purposes, the Company determined that the effect of the default and insolvent financial condition on the outstanding conversion features and warrants accounted for as derivative had no more value at December 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2013, the circumstances of the Company remained the same, and concurrently, determined that the Company was still in insolvent financial condition. As such, the outstanding conversion features and warrants accounted for as derivative also had no value at March 31, 2013.

NOTE 8. STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Stock Options

As of October 14, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors, based upon the approval and recommendation of the Compensation Committee, approved by unanimous written consent the Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") and form of option agreements for grants under the 2011 Plan. Employees, directors, consultants and advisors of the Company are eligible to participate in the 2011 Plan. The 2011 Plan will be administered by the Board of Directors or the Company's Compensation Committee and has 1,700,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance in the form of incentive stock options (available for issuance to employees, and only upon shareholder approval of the 2011 Plan); non-qualified options; common stock; and grant appreciation rights. No person eligible to participate in the 2011 Plan shall be granted options or other awards during a twelve month period that exceeds 300,000 shares. No options or stock appreciation rights may be granted after ten years of the adoption of the 2011 Plan by the Board of Directors, nor may any option have a term of more than ten years from the date of grant. The exercise price of non qualified options and the base value of a stock appreciation right shall not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The exercise price of an incentive stock option shall not be less than the fair market value of the stock covered by the option at the time of grant and in instances where a grantee possesses more than 10% percent of the combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company, the exercise price shall not be less than 110% percent of the fair market value of the common stock at the time of grant. The Company's stockholders did not approve the 2011 Plan within the required one-year period. Accordingly, the Company cannot grant incentive stock options under the 2011 Plan.

A summary of the status of stock options at March 31, 2013, and the changes during the three months then ended, is presented in the following table:

	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	93,750	107.00	7.7 years	217,063
Granted	-			
Exercised	-			
Expired/Forfeited	<u>-</u>			
Outstanding at March 31, 2013	93,750	\$ 107.00	7.7 years	\$ 33,063
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	55,158	\$ 106.00	7.2 years	\$ 19,453

During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded compensation costs of \$87,386 and \$1,177,227, respectively, relating to the vesting of the stock options discussed above. As of March 31, 2013, the aggregate value of unvested options was \$2,968,080, which will continue to be amortized as compensation cost as the options vest over terms ranging from 1 to 5 years, as applicable.

On March 1, 2011, the Company entered into an employment agreement that provided for the grant of options to purchase 25,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$125.00. The options were to vest as follows: a) 5,000 shares vested immediately and b) 20,000 shares vest in equal monthly installments over the two-year term of the agreement. Neither the Board of Directors nor the Compensation Committee approved the grant the foregoing options. Accordingly, the Company may be obligated to grant these options, but has not done so yet. Therefore, as the grant of these options has not been approved, they are not included in compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 or as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

On August 31, 2013, 25,000 options to purchase common stock granted to Anthony Cataldo with unamortized compensation cost of \$1,611,698 were forfeited as a result of his resignation as our chief executive officer effective June 1, 2013 (see Note 11).

Warrants

A summary of the status of stock warrants at March 31, 2013, and the changes during the three months then ended, is presented in the following table:

	Shares Under Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	108,734	123.00	3.5 years	\$ -
Issued	-			
Exercised	-			
Expired	-			
Outstanding and exerciseable at March 31, 2013	108,734	\$ 123.00	3.5 years	\$ -

NOTE 9. LICENSE AND COMMITMENTS

National Institutes of Health and the National Cancer Institute

Effective August 5, 2011, the Company signed a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the National Institutes of Health and the National Cancer Institute (NCI). Under the terms of the five-year cooperative research and development agreement, the Company will work with Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., chief of NCI's Surgery Branch, to develop adoptive cell immunotherapies that are designed to destroy metastatic melanoma cells using a patient's tumor infiltrating lymphocytes.

The Company will provide funds in the amount of \$1,000,000 per year of the CRADA for Dr. Rosenberg to use to acquire technical, statistical, and administrative support for the research activities, as well as to pay for supplies and travel expenses. The Company will provide funds in the amount of \$250,000 on a quarterly basis. The first quarterly installment of \$250,000 was due within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of the CRADA and each subsequent installment will be due within thirty (30) days of each quarterly anniversary of the December 5, 2011 Effective Date. In addition, although the CRADA has a five year term, either party to the CRADA has the right to terminate the CRADA upon 60 days' notice to the other party.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized a total of \$250,000 and \$250,000, respectively, of CRADA expenses, which was recorded as part of Research and Development expenses in the condensed statement of operations. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$500,000 and \$500,000, respectively, was due under these agreements.

National Institutes of Health

Effective October 5, 2011, the Company entered into a Patent License Agreement (the "License Agreement") with the National Institutes of Health, an agency of the United States Public Health Service within the Department of Health and Human Services ("NIH"). Pursuant to the License Agreement, NIH granted to the Company a non-exclusive worldwide right and license to develop and manufacture certain proprietary autologous tumor infiltrating lymphocyte adoptive cell therapy products for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, ovarian cancer, breast cancer, and colorectal cancer. The license agreement required us to pay the NIH approximately \$723,000 of upfront licensing fees and expense reimbursements in 2011, which amounts were included in Research and Development expenses in fiscal 2011. In addition, the Company will have to pay royalties of six percent (6%) of net sales (subject to certain annual minimum royalty payments), a percentage of revenues from sublicensing arrangements, and lump sum benchmark royalty payments on the achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones for each of the various indications and other direct cost incurred by NIH pursuant to the agreement. The Company initially intends to focus on the development of licensed products in the metastatic melanoma field of use. If the Company achieves all benchmarks for metastatic melanoma, up to and including the product's first commercial sale in the United States, the total amount of such benchmark payments will be \$6,050,000. The benchmark payments for the other three indications, if all benchmarks are achieved, will be \$6,050,000 for ovarian cancer, \$12,100,000 for breast cancer, and \$12,100,000 for colorectal cancer. Accordingly, if the Company achieves all benchmarks for all four licensed indications, the aggregate amount of benchmark royalty payments that the Company will have to make to NIH will be \$36,300,000.

During the year ended December 31, 2012 or the quarter ended March 31, 2013, there were no net sales subject to certain annual minimum royalty payments, a percentage of revenues from sublicensing arrangements. In addition, there were no benchmarks or milestones achieved that would require payment under the lump sum benchmark royalty payments on the achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones for each of the various indications.

As of the date of this Quarterly Report, the amount due of \$682,292 is currently past due and included in the condensed balance sheet and the Company deemed in default. The Company has not received any termination notice from the NIH and is currently in discussion to cure the default.

NOTE 10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Accrued Payroll and Fees

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company accrued the unpaid salaries of its officers and fees due to members of the Company's board of directors in the amount of \$448,081 and \$395,081 respectively, which is included in Accrued Expenses in the accompanying condensed balance sheet.

Emmes Group Consulting LLC

Effective as of February 15, 2011, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Emmes Group Consulting LLC, a strategic business consulting firm ("Emmes"). Mr. Schroeder, one of the Company's directors, is an Executive Vice President and Managing Director of Emmes and the Emmes Group, Inc. Under the consulting agreement, Emmes agreed to assist and advise us with respect to the development of an overall strategic business plan, the identification of in-licensing therapeutic opportunities, and raising debt and equity capital. In consideration for the foregoing consulting services, we issued to Emmes a tenyear warrant to purchase up to 1,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$126.00 per share. In addition, we agreed to pay Emmes \$10,000 per month. The initial term of the consulting agreement expired on May 15, 2011, but continued in accordance with the terms of the consulting agreement for an unspecified term until terminated at any time by either party with or without cause. Effective August 1, 2011, the Company amended the consulting agreement to increase the monthly consulting fee to \$20,000, commencing as of July 11, 2011. The amendment also extended the term of the consulting agreement to December 31, 2011.

On February 12, 2012, the Company entered into a Second Amendment to the Consulting Agreement, engaging the Emmes Group as its senior contractor and project manager responsible for the overall management of the design, development, implementation, and installation of our corporate and regulatory compliant information technology infrastructure and systems. The Second Amendment provides that the term of the consulting agreement shall continue until December 31, 2015.

NOTE 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Restructuring

Effective May 22, 2013, the Company completed a restructuring of its unregistered debt and equity securities resulting in an issuance of 12,913,510 shares of common stock, the cancellation of the Senior Secured Notes, the 12% Notes, and certain other indebtedness, and the receipt of \$1.25 million from the sale of shares of common stock (the "Restructuring"). To effect the Restructuring, the Company entered into an exchange agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") and a stock purchase agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement"), pursuant to which (i) certain outstanding debt of the Company was converted into shares of Common Stock; (ii) certain outstanding warrants to purchase shares of capital stock of the Company were exchanged for shares of Common Stock; (iii) certain investors in prior private placements offerings by the Company (the "Prior PIPE Transactions") purchased shares of Common Stock; and (iv) certain investors purchasing shares of Common Stock in this Restructuring received an additional issuance of Common Stock, for no additional consideration (the "Repricing Issuance"). The Exchange Agreement, Stock Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby are described in further detail below. The terms of the Restructuring were determined in negotiations between the Company and the creditors and investors party thereto, and were approved by the Board of Directors, including a majority of the disinterested directors. The securities issued pursuant the Restructuring are exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and Rule 506 of Regulation D because, among other reasons, all offerees are "accredited investors" under Section 2(15) of the Securities Act, all participants were existing securityholders of the Company, and no general solicitation or public advertisement was conducted in connection with the Restructuring.

Exchange Agreement

Under the Exchange Agreement, certain creditors of the Company (the "Creditors") holding (i) an aggregate of approximately \$7.2 million (including accrued interest and penalties) of the Senior Secured Notes issued on July 27, 2011, (ii) an aggregate of approximately \$1.7 million (including accrued interest and penalties) of bridge notes issued May 7, 2012 and September 12, 2012, and (iii) an aggregate of approximately \$300,000 in other outstanding debt (together the "Debt") converted all such outstanding Debt into shares of Common Stock at a conversion price of \$1.00 per share.

In addition, certain Creditors and certain placement agents associated with the Debt, together holding warrants to purchase 40,800 shares of capital stock of the Company exchanged such warrants and received one share of Common Stock in exchange for each share of capital stock of the Company underlying the warrants.

Furthermore, certain Creditors purchased an aggregate of 250,000 shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 per share, resulting in aggregate proceeds to the Company of \$250,000 under the Exchange Agreement. In sum, 9,558,441 shares of Common Stock were issued under the Exchange Agreement.

This Exchange Agreement terminated the 12% Notes, the Senior Secured Notes, the warrants, and any anti-dilution protection thereunder. The Exchange Agreement provides for new limited anti-dilution protection for shares of Common Stock issued under the Exchange Agreement, whereby such shares receive anti-dilution protection for any shares of capital stock of the Company sold at less than \$1.00 per share, solely with respect to the first \$6 million of any new sales of securities of the Company. In addition, all Creditors and placement agents provided a release of all claims against the Company with respect to all rights and ownership of the Debt and warrants, in consideration of the shares issued pursuant to the Exchange Agreement.

Stock Purchase Agreement

Under the Stock Purchase Agreement, certain investors ("Investors") who purchased Common Stock and warrants to purchase shares of capital stock of the Company in the Company's Prior PIPE Transactions purchased shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.00 (the "Financing"). In addition, any Investor participating in and purchasing a minimum amount of Common Stock in the Financing received, for no further consideration, the number of shares of Common Stock that such Investor would have received in the Prior PIPE Transactions if the price per share of Common Stock in the Prior PIPE Transactions had been \$1.00 per share (the "Repricing Issuance"). The Stock Purchase Agreement resulted in the issuance of 3,355,069 shares of common stock and aggregate proceeds to the Company of \$1,100,000.

All Investors and other parties holding warrants to purchase 81,934 shares of capital stock of the Company exchanged such warrants and received one share of Common Stock in exchange for each share of capital stock of the Company underlying the warrants.

The Stock Purchase Agreement resulted in the issuance of 3,355,069 shares of common stock and aggregate proceeds to the Company of \$1,100,000. The Stock Purchase Agreement also terminated the warrants and any anti-dilution protection thereunder. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides for new limited anti-dilution protection for shares of Common Stock issued under the Stock Purchase Agreement, whereby such shares receive anti-dilution protection for any shares of capital stock of the Company sold at less than \$1.00 per share, solely with respect to the first \$6 million of any new sales of securities of the Company. In addition, all Investors provided a release of all claims against the Company with respect to all rights and ownership of the shares and warrants acquired in connection with the Prior PIPE Transactions, in consideration of the shares issued pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement.

Pursuant to the Restructuring, the Company underwent a significant change in ownership of its shares. Under the Restructuring, certain Creditors, Investors, placement agents and consultants were issued approximately 94% of the Company's outstanding voting equity interests, with Ayer Capital Partners Master Fund, L.P. together with certain of its affiliates ("Bristol"), each beneficially now owning greater than 10% of the Company's outstanding voting securities. In consideration of \$250,000 in cash and the conversion of \$5,317,286 of Debt in the Restructuring, the Ayer Funds beneficially now own approximately 40.75% of the Company's outstanding voting securities. In consideration of \$341,111 in cash and the conversion of \$2,924,769 of Debt in the Restructuring, Bristol beneficially now owns approximately 29.25% of the Company's outstanding voting securities. Prior to the Restructuring, control of the Company was widely disseminated among various stockholders, including the Investors. No single shareholder holds more than 41% of the voting shares after the restructuring.

On May 20, 2013, Martin Schroeder resigned from the Board of Directors. In connection with the Restructuring, on May 22, 2013, Anthony Cataldo, Michael Handelman and William Andrews resigned from our Board of Directors. Finally, on May 24, 2013, our stockholders removed Dr. L. Stephen Coles from the Board and elected Paul Kessler to serve as an additional director on the Board. Mr. Kessler is a director of Bristol Investment Fund, Ltd. and a manager of Bristol Capital, LLC who, collectively, hold approximately 27.5% of our currently outstanding shares of Common Stock. Under the Restructuring, Bristol converted approximately \$2.92 million in Debt (including accrued interest and penalties) into shares of Common Stock, invested \$341,111 in the Financing, received a Repricing Issuance, and exchanged 45,325 warrants for shares of capital stock of the Company into shares of Common Stock, collectively resulting in the issuance of approximately 3,910,000 shares of Common Stock to Bristol.

Effective as of May 28, 2013, the Company amended its Bylaws to opt out of the Nevada Revised Statutes provisions 78.378 to 78.3793, inclusive and to provide that a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Company may fill a vacancy on the Company's board of directors.

Settlement with Mr. Cataldo

On June 19, 2013, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement and General Release of All Claims (the "Agreement") with Anthony Cataldo, the Company's former chief executive officer ("Cataldo"). Per the Agreement, Anthony Cataldo voluntarily resigned as the Company's chief executive officer, effective as of June 1, 2013. The Agreement also settles any amounts owed to Mr. Cataldo by the Company, providing that upon the Company achieving its first financing with aggregate proceeds to the Company of greater than \$5,000,000 following the date of the Agreement (the "Financing"), the Company shall provide Cataldo with a cash payment equal to \$370,000, to be paid out as follows: (a) a payment of \$120,000 in cash, less all appropriate federal and state income and employment taxes, payable to Cataldo within ten (10) business days following the Financing, and (b) a payment of \$250,000, less all appropriate federal and state income and employment taxes, payable to Cataldo within ten (10) business days following a closing of the Financing and immediately reinvested by Company on Cataldo's behalf in the Financing, on the same terms and conditions therein. The Agreement also provides for mutual releases of all claims related in any way to the transactions or occurrences between Cataldo and the Company to date, to the fullest extent permitted by law, including, but not limited to, Cataldo's employment with Company.

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc. Merger

On July 24, 2013, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Lion Biotechnologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Genesis Biopharma Sub, Inc., our newly formed Delaware subsidiary ("Merger Sub"), and thereby acquired Lion Biotechnologies, Inc. (the "Merger"). In the Merger, Lion Biotechnologies' stockholders received, in exchange for all of their issued and outstanding shares of common stock, an aggregate of 1,340,000 shares of our Common Stock with a fair value of approximately \$6,700,000 for consideration of the merger. These shares were recorded as an expense in the third quarter of 2013. In addition, Lion Biotechnologies stockholders have the ability to receive an additional 1,350,000 shares of Common Stock upon the achievement of certain milestones related to the Company's financial performance and position. As part of the Merger, Dr. Manish Singh entered into an employment agreement with us whereby we appointed him as our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company. We also agreed to reconstitute our Board of Directors by appointing Jay Venkatesan and Sanford J. Hillsberg to replace David Voyticky and Paul Kessler as directors on our Board. These appointments and resignations became effective in September 2013.

In connection with his appointment as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, we entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Singh pursuant to which we are required to pay Dr. Singh an annual base salary of \$34,000 until this Company raises at least \$1,000,000 in additional financing. If we raise at least \$1,000,000, Dr. Singh's annual salary will at that time increase to \$350,000. In addition to his base salary, Dr. Singh will be eligible to participate in the Company's annual incentive compensation program, with a target potential bonus of 30% of Dr. Singh's salary, conditioned upon the satisfaction of individual and company objectives. Dr. Singh will also be entitled to health and other benefits programs and, on July 24, 2014, he will also be eligible to receive stock option grants under the Company's stock option plan.

Amended and Restated Articles

Effective September 26, 2013, the Company amended and restated its articles of incorporation. The Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation effected the following:

- (1) a 1-for-100 reverse stock split (pro-rata reduction of outstanding shares) of Common Stock (the "Reverse Stock Split"). All share and per share amounts included in these financial statements have been retroactively restated to reflect the reverse stock split as if it had occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented.
- (2) to fix the number of authorized shares of Common Stock after the Reverse Stock Split at one hundred and fifty million (150,000,000) shares of Common Stock, which change resulted in an increase in the authorized number of shares of Common Stock.
- (3) to authorize the issuance of fifty million (50,000,000) shares of "blank check" preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, to be issued in series, and all properties of such preferred stock to be determined by the Company's Board.
- (4) to change the name of the Company to "Lion Biotechnologies, Inc."
- (5) to add indemnification and limit the personal liability of officers and members of the Company's Board of Directors.

Amendment to 2011 Plan

The Company's Board of Directors and the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock have to approved an amendment to the Company's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") (a) to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2011 Plan from 180,000 shares of common stock to 1,700,000 shares of common stock, (b) increasing the maximum number of shares eligible for issuance under the 2011 Plan in any twelve-month period from 50,000 shares of common stock to 300,000 shares of common stock.

Director Stock Awards

On July 24, 2013, prior to the Merger, the Company entered into a Director Stock Award Agreement (the "Award Agreement") with each of General Merrill McPeak, Matrix Group International, Inc. (on behalf of David Voyticky) ("Matrix") and Bristol Capital, LLC (on behalf of Paul Kessler) ("Bristol") whereby General McPeak, Matrix and Bristol each received 133,532 shares of Common Stock for consideration of services rendered as directors. The terms of the Award Agreement were approved by a majority of the Company's stockholders, including a majority of the disinterested stockholders. The securities issued pursuant the Award Agreement are exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") because, among other reasons, all offerees are "accredited investors" under Section 2(15) of the Securities Act and no general solicitation or public advertisement was conducted in connection with the issuance. These shares were recorded as an expense in the third quarter of 2013.

Proforma Effect

The proforma effect of these Subsequent Events can be found in Note 12 to the Company's financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 should be read in conjunction with the notes to those financial statements that are included in Item 1 of Part 1 of this Quarterly Report. Our discussion includes forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, such as our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors. We use words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "plan," "project," "continuing," "ongoing," "expect," "believe," "intend," "may," "will," "should," "could," and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report are based on information available to us on the date hereof and, except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. For a discussion of some of the factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements, please read carefully the information in the "Risk Factors" section in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The identification in this Quarterly Report of factors that may affect future performance and the accuracy of forward-looking statements is meant to be illustrative and by no means exhaustive. All forward-looking statements should be evaluated with the understanding of their inherent uncertainty.

Background on the Company and Recent Change in Strategic Focus

On March 15, 2010, we entered the biopharmaceutical business when we acquired the rights, title and interest to certain assets, including certain patents, patent applications, materials, and know-how, related to the development and commercialization of biotechnology drugs, and then commenced developing anti-cancer drugs based primarily on anti-CD55+ antibodies (the "Anti-CD55+ Antibody Program"). We engaged the University of Nottingham to conduct our research and development. Although we initially believed that the proposed anti-CD55+ therapies that we were attempting to develop had significant commercial potential, test results received in mid-2011 from the studies performed for us by the University of Nottingham failed to meet the pre-clinical development endpoints. Accordingly, in 2011 we decided to (i) end our development efforts for the anti-CD55+ technology, and (ii) pursue the development of a new ready-to-infuse adoptive cell therapy product candidate we refer to as ContegoTM.

On October 5, 2011 we licensed the rights to the adoptive cell therapy from the National Institute of Health ("NIH") and to a manufacturing process for Contego™ (initially for Stage IV metastatic melanoma) that we intend to develop to enable us to make the adoptive cell therapy available to a larger number of patients. The license agreement required us to pay the NIH approximately \$723,000 of upfront licensing fees and expense reimbursements in 2011. In addition, we will have to pay royalties of six percent (6%) of net sales (subject to certain annual minimum royalty payments of \$20,000 per year), a percentage of revenues from sublicensing arrangements, and lump sum benchmark royalty payments on the achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones for each of the various indications. We also have to make certain benchmark payments to the NIH based on the development and commercial release of licensed products using the technology underlying the License Agreement. If we achieve all benchmarks for metastatic melanoma, up to and including the product's first commercial sale in the United States, the total amount of such benchmark payments will be \$6,050,000 for the melanoma indication. The benchmark payments for the other three indications, if all benchmarks are achieved, will be \$6,050,000 for ovarian cancer, \$12,100,000 for breast cancer, and \$12,100,000 for colorectal cancer. Accordingly, if we achieve all benchmarks for all four licensed indications, the aggregate amount of benchmark royalty payments that we will have to make to NIH will be \$36,300,000.

In order to develop the adoptive cell immunotherapies we licensed from the NIH, effective August 5, 2011, we signed a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement ("CRADA") with the NIH and the National Cancer Institute ("NCI"). Under the terms of the CRADA, we are required to provide \$1,000,000 per year (in quarterly installments of \$250,000) to support research activities thereunder and to pay for supplies and travel expenses.

In December 2011, we entered into a five-year Manufacturing Services Agreement with Lonza Walkersville, Inc. under which Lonza agreed to manufacture, package, ship and handle quality assurance and quality control of our ContegoTM autologous cell therapy products. All of Lonza Walkersville's services will be provided under separate statements of work that we have agreed to enter into, from time to time, with Lonza Walkersville, Inc. In 2011, we paid Lonza a total of \$500,000, but we did not request any additional services from Lonza during the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, there were no net sales that would have required us to make royalty payments, nor were there any benchmarks or milestones achieved that would have required us to make lump sum benchmark royalty payments under the NIH license agreement. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company accrued no direct expense reimbursements, such as legal costs associated with patents, incurred by the NIH in performing on the licensing agreement. Such costs are reimbursable from the Company to the NIH pursuant to the terms of the licensing agreement. The entire amount is past due and there is no assurance that we will be able to make the required payment in the future and that the NIH will not exercise its right to terminate the agreement. The costs are included in Accrued expenses – National Institutes of Health on the accompanying condensed balance sheet and in research and development in the accompanying condensed statement of operations. Other than the royalties and benchmark expenses as described above and the aforementioned direct expense reimbursements there are no additional future obligations associated with the license.

Results of Operations

Revenues

As a development stage company that is currently engaged in the development of therapeutics to fight cancer, we have not yet generated any revenues from our biopharmaceutical business or otherwise since our formation. We currently do not anticipate that we will generate any revenues during 2013 from the sale or licensing of any products.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses include compensation-related costs for our employees engaged to general and administrative activities, legal fees, audit and tax fees, consultants and professional services, and general corporate expenses. Our operating expenses were \$434,913 and \$2,250,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our operating expenses during the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased by \$1,815,220 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2012, primarily due to the reduction in non-cash compensation. In the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012, we incurred \$1,227,000 of non-cash share based compensation costs, compared to \$87,000 of such costs incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2013 Share based compensation includes compensation paid to our two full time executive officers, our Scientific Advisory Board ("SAB"), as well as other consultants and advisors. Most of the compensation paid to our officers, directors, consultants and advisors in the 2012 fiscal quarter was paid in securities rather than in cash. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, our legal, accounting and other professional fees increased substantially because of the costs related to a public offering of our common stock (which offering was not consummated) and increased activities related to increased regulatory activities of our new business.

Research and Development.

Research and development expenses are primarily comprised of amounts payable to (i) the National Institutes of Health under terms of our license agreement, and (ii) NCI under the CRADA. Research and development costs were \$270,000 and \$886,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Research and development expenses in the 2013 fiscal quarter included the \$250,000 quarterly payment we made under the CRADA. In the quarter ended March 31, 2013, we made the \$20,000 annual minimum payments to the NIH under the licensing agreement. In the 2012 fiscal quarter, we made payments of \$250,000 under payable under the CRADA and accrued \$616,000 of unpaid past prosecution expenses as well as the minimum license royalty payment of \$20,000. Our goal is to substantially increase our research and development activities in the near future in order to accelerate the development of our technologies. However, the amount of our future research and development activities, and the amount of our future expenses, will depend upon the amount of funds that we have available.

Change in fair value of derivative liabilities.

We record the change in fair value of derivatives as other income or expenses. Derivatives included in these calculations primarily consist of the outstanding options and warrants that we issued as part of various financing activities and common shares underlying our convertible notes payable. There was no change in fair value of derivative liabilities in the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to an expense of \$2,548,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The significant loss for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was the result of the volatility of the trading price of our common stock in the 2012 fiscal quarter. The Company used the assistance of a valuation specialist due to the complexity in determining the fair value of its derivative liability at December 31, 2012. As a result of the Company's inability to pay its debt obligations, the default status of its convertible promissory notes and lack of available working capital at December 31, 2012, for valuation purposes, the Company, with the assistance of the independent valuation expert, determined that the effect of the default and insolvent financial condition, as such, the outstanding conversion features and warrants accounted for as derivative upon its issuance had no more value at December 31, 2012. The significant gain was primarily the result of the decrease in the market price of our stock, \$0.06 compared to \$1.15, used in the calculation of the fair value at March 31, 2013 compared to March 31, 2012, respectively which offset the increase in the quantity of derivative instruments recorded as of March 31, 2013 compared to March 31, 2012.

Interest expense.

Interest expense represents the amount of interest that accrued on the Secured Promissory and Convertible Notes.

Interest expense was \$342,000 and \$88,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase is due to the increase in the amount of interest-bearing promissory notes that were outstanding in the fiscal 2013 quarter compared to the amount of such notes accruing interest in 2012. In addition, the interest rate on the outstanding notes increased in 2013 because the notes were in default and, therefore, accrued interest at the higher default rates of interest.

Net Loss

We had a net loss of \$1,047,000 and \$5,773,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our net loss for three months ended March 31, 2013 was substantially less than the net loss incurred in the three months ended March 31, 2012 because of significant reduction in operating expenses (mostly due to the decrease in share based compensation), the decrease in research and development costs (we did not make any payments to the NIH in the 2013 fiscal quarter), and the decrease in the loss on a change in the fair value of derivative liabilities. We anticipate such losses to continue (and increase) in the future because we do not expect to generate any revenues in the near term, while our expenses related to our increased research and development activities are expected to increase.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2013, we had a working capital deficiency of \$12,279,000. We had no cash and cash equivalents on hand as of March 31, 2013, and no sources of liquidity available to us. In addition, as of March 31, 2013, we had outstanding promissory notes in the aggregate amount of \$6,781,250 (consisting primarily of \$5,000,000 of our senior secured convertible promissory notes (the "Senior Secured Notes") and \$1,481,250 of bridge notes issued May 7, 2012 and September 12, 2012 (the "12% Secured Notes"), \$300,000 secured convertible promissory notes issued in January 2013, and the unpaid interest and penalties thereon). As of May 24, 2013, all of our outstanding promissory notes were in default and, as a result, bore interest at default rates of interest. Since most of these notes were secured by a lien on our assets, the lenders could have foreclosed on our assets. These factors, together with our inability to meet our obligations from current operations and the need to raise additional capital to accomplish our objectives, create a substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

On May 24, 2013, we completed a restructuring of our unregistered debt and equity securities (the "Restructuring") under which all of the Senior Secured Notes and the 12% Secured Notes, and some other debt obligations, were converted into shares of Common Stock. This transaction extinguished approximately \$9,268,000 of liabilities, ended our future interest payment obligations, and removed all liens from our assets. In addition, in connection with the Restructuring, we also raised \$1,250,000 from the sale of shares of Common Stock. Accordingly, following the Restructuring we had substantially reduced our outstanding liabilities and had received some cash to fund our short-term operating needs.

All of our capital resources since our formation have been derived through the sale of convertible debt and equity securities. Although we extinguished a substantial amount of liabilities in the Restructuring, we still have substantial other liabilities and obligations, including \$616,000 that we still owe the NIH, and no source of ongoing revenues. Accordingly, we will have to raise additional proceeds in the near future to fund our working capital needs, our obligations to the NCI under the CRADA, to pay the NIH obligation, and to repay the other outstanding accrued expenses. No assurance can be given that we will have access to the capital markets in future, or that financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or otherwise. Our inability to access the capital markets or obtain acceptable financing could have a material adverse effect on our future operations and financial condition, and could severely threaten our ability to continue as a going concern.

Despite the Restructuring, we currently do not have sufficient capital on hand to fund our anticipated ongoing operating expenses, and we do not have any bank credit lines or other sources of capital. Accordingly, we will have to obtain additional debt or equity funding in the near future in order to continue our operations. We have not yet identified, and cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain any additional funding from either of these sources, or that the terms under which we may be able to obtain such funding will be beneficial to us or our stockholders.

Since our inception, we have funded our operations primarily through private sales of equity securities and convertible loans. These sales of equity and debt securities consisted of the following:

- · In 2010, we raised a total of \$1,945,000 from the sale of 14,578,309 shares of Common Stock (including warrants). In 2011, we raised a total of \$895,000 from the sale of 850,000 shares of Common Stock and five-year Class "C" Warrants to purchase 850,000 shares that exercisable at \$1.25 per share. In February 2012 we raised \$250,000 from the sale of Common Stock (including warrants).
- · On July 27, 2011, we raised gross proceeds of \$5,000,000 from the sale of the Senior Secured Notes and five year warrants (the "Note Warrants") to purchase 40,000 shares of our common stock. The Senior Secured Notes were initially convertible at \$125.00 per share, and the Warrants are initially exercisable at \$125.00 per share, subject in both cases to anti-dilution adjustments that reduced the exercise price then in effect. The Senior Secured Notes initially were to mature November 30, 2011 but were amended and extended a number of times. The Senior Secured Notes and Note Warrants were extinguished in the Restructuring.

- · In April 2012, we issued two short-term promissory notes in the aggregate amount of \$250,000. These promissory notes were exchanged for new 12% Secured Notes issued in May 2012.
- · In May 2012, we issued 12% Secured Notes in the aggregate amount of \$1,231,000. These promissory notes were secured by our assets and had a maturity date of December 31, 2012. In addition, we also agreed to issue to the holders of these promissory notes, for no additional consideration, one-half the number of shares of Common Stock for every dollar funded under the 2012 Secured Notes (or 615,625 shares of Common Stock).
- · In September 2012, we received a \$250,000 loan, which loan was evidenced by a promissory note that was due on demand. In addition, we also issued, for no additional consideration, a five year, fully vested warrant to purchase 9,434 shares of common stock at \$125.00 per share.
- · In January 2013, we issued four 18% convertible promissory notes in the aggregate amount of \$300,000 that were due upon demand and convertible into shares of our Common Stock at a conversion price of \$125.00 per share.

All of the foregoing promissory notes and warrants were converted or extinguished in the Restructuring.

Currently, we have no sources of liquidity. Accordingly, our goal is to attempt to raise the additional funds that we need through the sale of additional debt or equity securities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities will result in additional dilution to our stockholders and could subject us to covenants that may have the effect of restricting our operations. We may also in the future seek to obtain funding through strategic alliances with larger pharmaceutical or biomedical companies. However, we currently have no agreements in place with any funding sources or with any strategic partners that could provide us with some or all of the funding that we need. Accordingly, we can provide no assurance that additional financing will be available to us in an amount or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Even if we are able to obtain additional funding from either financings or alliances, no assurance can be given that the terms of such funding will be beneficial to us or our stockholders. If we are unsuccessful or only partly successful in our efforts to secure additional financing, we may find it necessary to suspend or terminate some or all of our product development and other activities.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. This ASU clarifies which instruments and transactions are subject to the offsetting disclosure requirements established by ASU 2011-11. This guidance is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning January 1, 2013. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On March 4, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, "Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity" ("ASU 2013-05"). ASU 2013-05 updates accounting guidance related to the application of consolidation guidance and foreign currency matters. This guidance resolves the diversity in practice about what guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. Topic 740, Income Taxes, does not include explicit guidance on the financial statement presented of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. There is diversity in practice in the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits in those instances and the amendments in this update are intended to eliminate that diversity in practice. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Early adoption is permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this update will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Other accounting pronouncements did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. When making these estimates and assumptions, we consider our historical experience, our knowledge of economic and market factors and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ under different estimates and assumptions.

The accounting estimates and assumptions discussed in this section are those that we consider to be the most critical to an understanding of our financial statements because they inherently involve significant judgments and uncertainties.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Intangible Assets

We record intangible assets in accordance with guidance of the FASB. Intangible assets consist mostly of intellectual property rights that were acquired from an affiliated entity and recorded at their historical cost and are being amortized over a three years life. We review intangible assets subject to amortization at least annually to determine if any adverse conditions exist or a change in circumstances has occurred that would indicate impairment or a change in the remaining useful life. If the carrying value of the assets is determined not to be recoverable, we record an impairment loss equal to the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the assets. Our estimate of fair value is based on the best information available. If the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining useful life is changed, we amortize the remaining carrying value of the intangible asset prospectively over the revised remaining useful life.

Stock-Based Compensation

We periodically issue stock options and warrants to employees and non-employees in non-capital raising transactions for services and for financing costs. We adopted FASB guidance effective January 1, 2006, and are using the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date that remain unvested on the effective date. We account for stock option and warrant grants issued and vesting to non-employees in accordance with accounting guidance whereby the fair value of the stock compensation is based on the measurement date as determined at either (a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached, or (b) the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instrument is complete.

We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which was developed for use in estimating the fair value of options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. This model requires the input of subjective assumptions, including the expected price volatility of the underlying stock and the expected life of stock options. Projected data related to the expected volatility of stock options is based on the historical volatility of the trading prices of the Company's common stock and the expected life of stock options is based upon the average term and vesting schedules of the options. Changes in these subjective assumptions can materially affect the fair value of the estimate, and therefore the existing valuation models do not provide a precise measure of the fair value of our employee stock options.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We evaluate all of our financial instruments to determine if such instruments are derivatives or contain features that qualify as embedded derivatives. For stock-based derivative financial instruments, we use both a weighted average Black-Scholes-Merton and Binomial option pricing models to value the derivative instruments at inception and on subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At March 31, 2013, we had no obligations that would require disclosure as off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. A material weakness existed relating to a lack of segregation of financial accounting personnel and the expertise necessary to properly account for certain complex transactions. Notwithstanding the existence of this material weakness, we believe that the consolidated financial statements included in this report fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. This material weakness was identified in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. However, until this material weakness is remediated, management has concluded that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the interim consolidated financial statements could occur and not be prevented or detected by the Company's controls in a timely manner. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Changes in Controls over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which this company is a party or of which our property is the subject.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information regarding risk factors appears under "Risk Factors" included in Item 1A, Part I, and under Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the above-mentioned periodic report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

As described elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as of March 31, 2013, we were in default on the Senior Secured Notes and 12% Secured Notes.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

(a) None.

(b) There were no changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to our board of directors.

Item 6.	Exhibits
Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d 14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Lion Biotechnologies, Inc.

October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Manish Singh

Manish Singh

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Michael Handelman

Michael Handelman

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION

- I, Manish Singh, Chief Executive Officer of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this
- Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under my supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report my conclusions about c) the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation: and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit 5. committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are a) reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's b) internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Manish Singh

> Manish Singh Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

- I, Michael Handelman, Chief Financial Officer of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under my supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report my conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Michael Handelman

Michael Handelman Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Manish Singh, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Manish Singh

Manish Singh

Chief Executive Officer

This certification accompanies each Report pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of §18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lion Biotechnologies, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Michael Handelman, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: October 22, 2013 By: /s/ Michael Handelman

Michael Handelman Chief Financial Officer

This certification accompanies each Report pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of §18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.