

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: **June 30, 2010**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 000-53127

GENESIS BIOPHARMA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-3254381

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**1601 N. Sepulveda Blvd., #632,
Manhattan Beach, CA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

90266

(Zip Code)

(866) 963-2220

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: As of August 9, 2010, there were 71,860,008 shares of common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	1
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	13
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	13
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	13
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	13
Item 1A. Risk Factors	13
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	14
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	14
Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]	14
Item 5. Other Information	14
Item 6. Exhibits	14
SIGNATURES	15

PART 1 — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Genesis Biopharma, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2010 <u>(unaudited)</u>	December 31, 2009 <u></u>
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 209,263	\$ 8,257
Deposit	150	150
Prepaid expenses	5,000	-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	<u>214,413</u>	<u>8,407</u>
INTANGIBLE ASSETS		
Website, net of accumulated depreciation of \$173	1,908	1,225
Intellectual property licenses	217,408	-
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 433,729</u>	<u>\$ 9,632</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 15,777	\$ -
Due to director	-	23,120
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	<u>15,777</u>	<u>23,120</u>
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock; \$0.000041666 par value; 1,800,000,000 shares authorized; 71,860,008 and 121,440,000 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	2,994	5,060
Additional paid-in capital	641,755	55,940
Accumulated deficit	(226,797)	(74,488)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	<u>417,952</u>	<u>(13,488)</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	<u>\$ 433,729</u>	<u>\$ 9,632</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Genesis Biopharma, Inc.

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		Inception on September 17, 2007 to June 30, 2010
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
REVENUE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
General and administrative	84,629	3,345	152,309	9,957	226,797
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(84,629)	(3,345)	(152,309)	(9,957)	\$ (226,797)
NET LOSS	\$ (84,629)	\$ (3,345)	\$ (152,309)	\$ (9,957)	\$ (226,797)
NET LOSS PER SHARE:					
BASIC AND DILUTED	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:					
BASIC AND DILUTED	71,860,008	121,440,000	91,967,449	121,440,000	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Genesis Biopharma, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total stockholders' equity
	Shares	Amount			
Initial capitalization, sale of common stock to directors on September 17, 2007	96,000,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ 8,000
Private placement closed December 31, 2007	25,440,000	1,060	51,940		53,000
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	(1,576)	(1,576)
Balance, December 31, 2007	121,440,000	5,060	55,940	(1,576)	59,424
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	(57,140)	(57,140)
Balance, December 31, 2008	121,440,000	5,060	55,940	(58,716)	2,284
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	(15,772)	(15,772)
Balance, January 1, 2010	121,440,000	5,060	55,940	(74,488)	(13,488)
Shares cancelled	(83,339,976)	(3,472)	3,472	-	-
Common Stock sold in Private Placement at \$0.03125 per share	12,799,968	533	364,467		365,000
Common Stock issued for intellectual property	20,960,016	873	216,535		217,408
Fair value of vesting of stock options			1,341		1,341
Net loss for the period				(152,309)	(152,309)
Balance, June 30, 2010	<u>71,860,008</u>	<u>\$ 2,994</u>	<u>\$ 641,755</u>	<u>\$ (226,797)</u>	<u>\$ 417,952</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Genesis Biopharma, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,		Inception on September 17, 2007 to June 30,
	2010	2009	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net loss	\$ (152,309)	\$ (9,957)	\$ (226,797)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Amortization	173	666	2,948
Fair value of vesting of stock options	1,341		1,341
Loss on website	2,125		2,125
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses	(5,000)	(152)	(5,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	15,777	3,792	15,777
Deposit	-	-	(150)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(137,893)</u>	<u>(5,651)</u>	<u>(209,756)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Website	(2,981)	-	(6,981)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(2,981)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(6,981)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	365,000	-	426,000
Due to director	(23,120)	3,900	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>341,880</u>	<u>3,900</u>	<u>426,000</u>
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	201,006	(1,751)	209,263
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning of period	8,257	2,905	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, End of period	<u>\$ 209,263</u>	<u>\$ 1,154</u>	<u>\$ 209,263</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Taxes paid	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interest paid	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Common stock issued for intellectual property	<u>\$ 217,408</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 217,408</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

GENESIS BIOPHARMA, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1. GENERAL ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

The Company was originally incorporated under the laws of the state of Nevada on September 17, 2007. The Company has had limited operations, is considered a development stage company, and has had no revenues from operations to date. The Company has adopted a December 31 year end.

Our initial operations included organization, capital formation, target market identification, new product development and marketing plans. As a result of our acquisition of the assets related to the Anti-CD55 Antibody Program and the License Agreement (see Notes 3 and 5), we have become a biopharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of drugs and other clinical solutions for underserved diseases, including metastatic cancers and lethal infectious diseases.

On March 15, 2010, the Company (then named Freight Management Corp.) and Genesis Biopharma, Inc., a Nevada corporation and a newly formed merger subsidiary wholly owned by the Company ("Merger Sub"), consummated a merger transaction (the "Merger") whereby Merger Sub merged into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation. The Company and Merger Sub filed the Articles of Merger on March 15, 2010 with the Secretary of State of Nevada, along with the Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into by the two parties effective as of March 15, 2010 (the "Merger Agreement"). The Merger Agreement and the Articles of Merger provided for an amendment of the Company's Articles of Incorporation, which changed the Company's name to "Genesis Biopharma, Inc." effective as of March 15, 2010.

On March 15, 2010, the Company also effected a 24-for-1 forward stock split, with a record date of March 15, 2010, and correspondingly increased the number of its authorized shares to 1,800,000,000 and reduced the par value of each share from \$0.001 to \$0.000041666. All share and per share amounts have been retroactively restated as if the stock split had occurred during the earliest period presented.

Basis of Presentation of Unaudited Financial Information

The unaudited financial statements of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Regulation S-K for scaled disclosures for smaller reporting companies. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. However, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations. Results shown for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for a full fiscal year. The balance sheet information as of December 31, 2009 was derived from the audited financial statements included in the Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 31, 2010. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with that report.

Going Concern

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have been presented on the basis that it is a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company is in the development stage and has not generated any revenues from operations to date, and does not expect to do so in the foreseeable future. The Company has experienced recurring operating losses and negative operating cash flows since inception, and has financed its working capital requirements through the recurring sale of its equity securities. As a result, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, in its report on the Company's 2009 consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2010, has raised substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to develop additional sources of capital and to ultimately achieve sustainable revenues and profitable operations. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. At June 30, 2010, the Company had not yet commenced any revenue-generating operations. As such, the Company has yet to generate any cash flows from operations, and is dependent on debt and equity funding from both related and unrelated parties to finance its operations.

Because the Company is currently engaged in research at an early stage, it will likely take a significant amount of time to develop any product or intellectual property capable of generating revenues. As such, the Company's business is unlikely to generate any sustainable revenues in the next several years, and may never do so. Even if the Company is able to generate revenues in the future through licensing its technologies or through product sales, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to generate a profit.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all dilutive potential common shares had been issued, using the treasury stock method. Potential common shares are excluded from the computation as their effect is antidilutive.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the calculations of basic and diluted loss per share are the same because potential dilutive securities would have an anti-dilutive effect. The potentially dilutive securities at June 30, 2010 consist of 1,150,000 options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company uses various inputs in determining the fair value of its investments and measures these assets on a recurring basis. Financial assets recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized by the level of objectivity associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Authoritative guidance provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") defines the following levels directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these financial assets:

- Level 1—Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2—Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3—Unobservable inputs based on the Company's assumptions.

The Company has no fair value items required to be disclosed.

Intangible Assets

The Company records intangible assets in accordance with guidance of the FASB. Intangible assets consist mostly of intellectual property rights and are deemed to have indefinite lives that are not subject to annual amortization. The Company reviews, at least quarterly, its investment in intangible assets for impairment and if impairment is deemed to have occurred the impairment is charged to expense. Accordingly, management compares the carrying value of the asset to its fair value in determining the amount of the impairment. No impairments were identified as of June 30, 2010.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided in accordance with guidance of the FASB. A deferred tax asset or liability is recorded for all temporary differences between financial and tax reporting and net operating loss carryforwards. Deferred tax expense (benefit) results from the net change during the year of deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company periodically issues stock options and warrants to officers, directors and consultants for services rendered. Options vest and expire according to terms established at the grant date.

The Company accounts for share-based payments to officers and directors by measuring the cost of services received in exchange for equity awards based on the grant date fair value of the awards, with the cost recognized as compensation expense in the Company's financial statements over the vesting period of the awards.

The Company accounts for share-based payments to consultants by determining the value of the stock compensation based upon the measurement date at either (a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached or (b) the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instruments is complete. Options granted to outside consultants are revalued each reporting period to determine the amount to be recorded as an expense in the respective period. As the options vest, they are valued on each vesting date and an adjustment is recorded for the difference between the value already recorded and the then current value on the date of vesting.

Website Costs

Costs incurred in connection with the creation of our website have been capitalized and are being amortized to expense over their estimated useful life of three years using the straight-line method. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 we capitalized \$2,081 of such development costs, expensed \$2,125 of costs associated with the Company's prior website that is no longer being used and accrued \$173 of amortization.

Ongoing website post-implementation costs of operation, including training, application maintenance and creation of database content, will be charged to expense as incurred.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance in applying the milestone method of revenue recognition to research or development arrangements. Under this guidance, management may recognize revenue contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety, in the period in which the milestone is achieved, only if the milestone meets all the criteria within the guidance to be considered substantive. This standard is effective on a prospective basis for research and development milestones achieved in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted; however, adoption of this guidance as of a date other than January 1, 2011 will require the Company to apply this guidance retrospectively effective as of January 1, 2010 and will require disclosure of the effect of this guidance as applied to all previously reported interim periods in the fiscal year of adoption. As the Company plans to implement this standard prospectively, the effect of this guidance will be limited to future transactions. The Company does not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations as it has no material research and development arrangements which will be accounted for under the milestone method.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which requires new disclosures regarding transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, as well as requiring presentation on a gross basis of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in Level 3 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies existing disclosures regarding level of disaggregation, inputs and valuation techniques. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. As this guidance requires only additional disclosure, there should be no impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company upon adoption.

In October 2009, a new accounting consensus was issued for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This consensus amends existing revenue recognition accounting standards. This consensus provides accounting principles and application guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the arrangement should be separated and the consideration allocated. This guidance eliminates the requirement to establish the fair value of undelivered products and services and instead provides for separate revenue recognition based upon management's estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item when there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. Previously the existing accounting consensus required that the fair value of the undelivered item be the price of the item either sold in a separate transaction between unrelated third parties or the price charged for each item when the item is sold separately by the vendor. Under the existing accounting consensus, if the fair value of all of the elements in the arrangement was not determinable, then revenue was deferred until all of the items were delivered or fair value was determined. This new approach is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company is in the process of evaluating whether the adoption of this standard will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the "AICPA"), and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSES

Effective March 15, 2010, the Company entered into a purchase agreement with Hamilton Atlantic, a Cayman Islands company (“Hamilton”), whereby Hamilton sold, and the Company acquired, all of Hamilton’s rights, title and interest to certain assets related to the development and commercialization of biotechnology drugs, primarily anti-CD55 antibodies (the “Anti-CD55 Antibody Program”), including certain patents, patent applications, materials, and know-how. The Anti-CD55 Antibody Program consists of antibodies that could be developed and commercialized for the treatment of cancer. As consideration, the Company agreed to issue to Hamilton 20,960,016 shares of the Company’s common stock valued at \$217,408 based upon the amount paid by Hamilton for the intellectual property rights. The Company determined that the intellectual property rights acquired meet the criteria of an indefinite life asset as defined by current accounting guidance. As such, the intangible asset will not be amortized, but will be subject to annual impairment tests.

NOTE 4. STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY

Authorized

The Company is authorized to issue 1,800,000,000 shares of \$0.000041666 par value common stock. All common stock shares have equal voting rights, are non-assessable and have one vote per share. Voting rights are not cumulative and, therefore, the holders of more than 50% of the common stock could, if they choose to do so, elect all of the directors of the Company.

On March 15, 2010, the Company effected a 24-for-1 forward stock split, with a record date of March 15, 2010, and correspondingly increased the number of its authorized shares to 1,800,000,000 and reduced the par value of each share from \$0.001 to \$0.000041666.

Issued and Outstanding

On September 17, 2007 (inception), the Company issued 96,000,000 shares of its common stock to its directors, at a price of \$0.00083 per share, for cash of \$8,000.

Private Placements

Effective March 15, 2010, the Company sold to accredited investors pursuant to subscription agreements, in a private placement offering (the “Private Placement”), an aggregate of 12,799,968 shares (post-split) of its common stock (the “Shares”) at \$0.03125 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$400,000, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$365,000, net of offering costs. The Common Stock Subscription Agreements granted the investors “piggy-back” registration rights with respect to the Shares, pursuant to which the Company agreed, in the event the Company determines to register its common stock with the SEC, that it would include the Shares as part of the registration statement registering its common stock. The securities sold by the Company in the Private Placement were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Regulation S promulgated thereunder and pursuant to Section 4(2) thereunder.

On December 31, 2007, the Company closed a private placement for 25,440,000 common shares at a price of \$0.002083 per share, or an aggregate of \$53,000. The Company accepted subscriptions from 39 offshore non-affiliated investors.

Stock Options

On March 30, 2010, the Company granted options to purchase 675,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to a director and two consultants at an exercise price of \$0.03125. These options vest over three (3) years and have a seven-year life. The options were valued at \$12,825, using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The following assumptions were utilized in valuing the options: strike price of \$0.03125; term of seven (7) years; volatility of 59%; expected dividends 0%; and discount rate of 4%.

On May 21, 2010, the Company granted options to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to a consultant at an exercise price of \$0.03125. These options vest over four (4) years and have a seven-year life. The options were valued at \$1,800, using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The following assumptions were utilized in valuing the options: strike price of \$0.03125; term of seven (7) years; volatility of 54.25%; expected dividends 0%; and discount rate of 4%.

On May 26, 2010, the Company granted options to purchase 375,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to a director at an exercise price of \$0.03125. These options vest over three (3) years and have a seven-year life. The options were valued at \$6,750, using the Black Scholes option pricing model. The following assumptions were utilized in valuing the options: strike price of \$0.03125; term of seven (7) years; volatility of 54.25%; expected dividends 0%; and discount rate of 4%.

As of June 30, 2010, the aggregate value of unvested options was \$19,321, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,054, which will continue to be amortized as compensation cost as the options vest, over 3 or 4 years, as applicable. The options had no intrinsic value as of June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2010, options outstanding are as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance at January 1, 2010	—	\$ —
Granted	1,150,000	\$ 0.03125
Exercised	—	\$ —
Cancelled	—	\$ —
Balance at March 31, 2010	<u>1,150,000</u>	<u>\$ 0.03125</u>

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of June 30, 2010 is as follows:

Weighted Average Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$ 0.03125	1,150,000	7	\$ 0.03125	—

NOTE 5. LICENSE AGREEMENT

On March 15, 2010, we entered into a Patent and Know How Licence (the "License Agreement") with Cancer Research Technology Limited, a company registered in England and Wales ("CRT"). Pursuant to the License Agreement, CRT granted to the Company an exclusive, worldwide right and license in certain intellectual property related to a proprietary, therapeutic use of anti-CD55 antibodies, including rights to patents and patent applications related thereto, to research, develop, use, make, distribute, and sell products utilizing the licensed intellectual property. The license granted to the Company expires on the later to occur of the expiration of the relevant licensed patent in the relevant country or 10 years after the date that the first therapeutic product was placed on the market in such country. In consideration for the license, the Company agreed to pay to CRT \$46,782 (£30,000) in royalties upon the effective date of the License Agreement which has been included as an expense in the accompanying statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, the Company agreed to pay CRT additional royalties based on the achievement of certain milestones, including the consummation of financing by the Company and other milestones relating to the commencement of Phase III clinical studies, the filing of new drug applications, and the grant of marketing approval related to the licensed products.

NOTE 6. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Change of Control

On March 15, 2010, Mr. Robert Brooke acquired beneficial ownership of 9,940,008 shares (post-split) of our common stock held by Mr. Ibrahim Abotaleb, and Mr. Richard McKilligan acquired beneficial ownership of 2,720,016 shares (post-split) of our common stock held by Mr. Abotaleb. The balance of the remaining shares held by Mr. Abotaleb and all of the shares held by Mr. Gerald Lewis, totaling an aggregate of 83,339,976 common shares, were then returned to the Company for cancellation and are no longer outstanding.

On March 15, 2010, Ibrahim Abotaleb resigned as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, and Gerald Lewis resigned as the Secretary, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Abotaleb and Mr. Lewis also resigned from the Company's board of directors.

On March 15, 2010, the Company appointed Robert Brooke as its President and Chief Executive Officer, and the Company appointed Richard McKilligan as its Secretary, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer. In addition, Mr. Brooke and Mr. McKilligan were appointed to the Company's board of directors.

Rent and Other Services

The Company neither owns nor leases any real or personal property. The Company's directors provide office space free of charge. The officers and directors of the Company are involved in other business activities and may, in the future, become involved in other business opportunities. If a specific business opportunity becomes available, such persons may face a conflict in selecting between the Company and their other business interests. The Company has not formulated a policy for the resolution of such conflicts.

Amounts due Former Director

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had amounts due a former director of \$23,120. The amounts due were unsecured, non-interest bearing and were due on demand. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company repaid \$4,983 of the amount due to the former director and the director forgave the remainder of the amount due of \$18,137, which was recorded as miscellaneous income.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 should be read in conjunction with the notes to those financial statements that are included in Item 1 of Part 1 this Quarterly Report. Our discussion includes forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, such as our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors. We use words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "plan," "project," "continuing," "ongoing," "expect," "believe," "intend," "may," "will," "should," "could," and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report are based on information available to us on the date hereof and, except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements.

Overview

Genesis Biopharma, Inc. ("we" or the "Company") is a biopharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of drugs and other clinical solutions for underserved diseases, including metastatic cancers and lethal infectious diseases. Our drug pipeline includes an anti-CD55 antibody therapy derived from a diagnostic used in over 100 cancer patients. It has shown potential for therapeutic use in several large market cancer indications and in combination with rituximab, a biotechnology drug currently marketed by Roche and Biogen IDEC with worldwide 2009 sales in excess of \$5 billion. Genesis holds exclusive intellectual property rights for commercialization of its anti-CD55 therapy, with patent applications pending in various nations. In addition to our anti-CD55 drug development program, we are seeking to convert promising academic research into low-risk and proprietary drug development programs, through efforts deemed Genesis Advanced Development Programs ("Genesis ADP"). As part of these efforts, we are actively evaluating in-licensing and new business development opportunities.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009:

Operating Expenses

General and Administrative

Our general and administrative expenses increased from \$3,012 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$84,456 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. In the 2010 period these expenses were primarily marketing and operating expenses related to the development of the Company's products. We expect these expenses to increase during the 2010 fiscal year as we implement our plan to develop our products. This amount also included a one time gain of \$18,137 related to the settlement of the amount due to a former director.

Amortization

Our amortization expense decreased from \$333 in the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$173 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. We expect depreciation and amortization expenses to increase as we invest in a new website and various other intellectual property.

Net Loss

We had a net loss of \$3,345 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to a net loss of \$84,629 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. As we are a development stage company and do not expect to earn significant revenues during the next fiscal year, we expect to continue to incur net losses and we expect those losses to increase during the 2010 fiscal year as we incur significant expenses to develop our products.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009:

Operating Expenses

General and Administrative

Our general and administrative expenses increased from \$9,291 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$152,136 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In the 2010 period these expenses represented marketing and other operating expenses related to the development of the Company's products as well as intellectual property license fees and expenses related to the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings. We expect these expenses to increase substantially during the 2010 fiscal year as we implement our plan to develop our products.

Amortization

Our amortization expense decreased from \$666 in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$173 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. We expect depreciation and amortization expenses to increase as we invest in a new website and various other intellectual property.

Net Loss

We had a net loss of \$9,957 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to a net loss of \$152,309 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. As we are a development stage company and do not expect to earn significant revenues during the next fiscal year, we expect to continue to incur net losses and we expect those losses to increase during the 2010 fiscal year as we incur significant expenses to develop our products.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have funded our operations primarily through private sales of equity securities and loans from a director. Effective March 15, 2010, the Company sold to accredited investors pursuant to subscription agreements, in a private placement offering, an aggregate of 12,799,968 shares (post-split) of its common stock, for an aggregate purchase price of \$365,000, net of offering costs. We expect to issue additional shares and possibly incur debt.

As of June 30, 2010, we had cash of \$209,263.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$5,651 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$137,893 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This difference was primarily due to a larger net loss in the 2010 period.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased from \$3,900 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$341,880 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as a result of the private placement of the Company's common stock, for an aggregate purchase price of \$365,000, net of offering costs, during the 2010 period.

We believe that our current cash resources will be sufficient to sustain our current operations for approximately six (6) months. We will need to obtain additional cash resources during the next year in order to develop our products. We expect to engage in additional sales of debt or equity securities. The sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our shareholders. The issuance of additional debt would result in increased expenses and could subject us to covenants that may have the effect of restricting our operations. We have not made arrangements to obtain additional financing and we can provide no assurance that additional financing will be available in an amount or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. When making these estimates and assumptions, we consider our historical experience, our knowledge of economic and market factors and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ under different estimates and assumptions.

The accounting estimates and assumptions discussed in this section are those that we consider to be the most critical to an understanding of our financial statements because they inherently involve significant judgments and uncertainties.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company applies the provisions of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements," which provides guidance on the recognition, presentation and disclosure of revenue in financial statements filed with the SEC. SAB No. 104 outlines the basic criteria that must be met to recognize revenue and provides guidance for disclosure related to revenue recognition policies. In general, the Company recognizes revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) shipment of products has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) the sales price charged is fixed or determinable and (iv) collection is reasonably assured.

The Company has not recognized any revenue to date and we do not anticipate recognizing any significant revenue during the next fiscal year.

Intangible Assets

The Company records intangible assets in accordance with guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB"). Intangible assets consist mostly of intellectual property rights and are deemed to have indefinite lives that are not subject to annual amortization. The Company reviews, at least quarterly, its investment in intangible assets for impairment and if impairment is deemed to have occurred the impairment is charged to expense. Accordingly, management compares the carrying value of the asset to its fair value in determining the amount of the impairment. No impairments were identified as of June 30, 2010.

Stock-Based Compensation

We periodically issue stock options and warrants to employees and non-employees in non-capital raising transactions for services and for financing costs. We adopted FASB guidance effective January 1, 2006, and are using the modified prospective method in which compensation cost is recognized beginning with the effective date (a) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date that remain unvested on the effective date. We account for stock option and warrant grants issued and vesting to non-employees in accordance with accounting guidance whereby the fair value of the stock compensation is based on the measurement date as determined at either (a) the date at which a performance commitment is reached, or (b) the date at which the necessary performance to earn the equity instrument is complete.

We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which was developed for use in estimating the fair value of options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. This model requires the input of subjective assumptions, including the expected price volatility of the underlying stock and the expected life of stock options. Projected data related to the expected volatility of stock options is based on the historical volatility of the trading prices of the Company's common stock and the expected life of stock options is based upon the average term and vesting schedules of the options. Changes in these subjective assumptions can materially affect the fair value of the estimate, and therefore the existing valuation models do not provide a precise measure of the fair value of our employee stock options.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance in applying the milestone method of revenue recognition to research or development arrangements. Under this guidance, management may recognize revenue contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety, in the period in which the milestone is achieved, only if the milestone meets all the criteria within the guidance to be considered substantive. This standard is effective on a prospective basis for research and development milestones achieved in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted; however, adoption of this guidance as of a date other than January 1, 2011 will require the Company to apply this guidance retrospectively effective as of January 1, 2010 and will require disclosure of the effect of this guidance as applied to all previously reported interim periods in the fiscal year of adoption. As the Company plans to implement this standard prospectively, the effect of this guidance will be limited to future transactions. The Company does not expect adoption of this standard to have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations as it has no material research and development arrangements which will be accounted for under the milestone method.

In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which requires new disclosures regarding transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, as well as requiring presentation on a gross basis of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in Level 3 fair value measurements. The guidance also clarifies existing disclosures regarding level of disaggregation, inputs and valuation techniques. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. As this guidance requires only additional disclosure, there should be no impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company upon adoption.

In October 2009, a new accounting consensus was issued for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This consensus amends existing revenue recognition accounting standards. This consensus provides accounting principles and application guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the arrangement should be separated and the consideration allocated. This guidance eliminates the requirement to establish the fair value of undelivered products and services and instead provides for separate revenue recognition based upon management's estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item when there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. Previously the existing accounting consensus required that the fair value of the undelivered item be the price of the item either sold in a separate transaction between unrelated third parties or the price charged for each item when the item is sold separately by the vendor. Under the existing accounting consensus, if the fair value of all of the elements in the arrangement was not determinable, then revenue was deferred until all of the items were delivered or fair value was determined. This new approach is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company is in the process of evaluating whether the adoption of this standard will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the AICPA, and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 30, 2010, we had no obligations that would require disclosure as off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not required.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act (defined below)). Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered in this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all error or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Accordingly, management believes that the financial statements included in this report fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In addition, our management with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have determined that no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13(a)-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) of the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or of which our property is the subject.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not required.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On May 21, 2010, we issued options to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock to a consultant at an exercise price of \$0.03125. These options expire seven (7) years after the grant date and vest over a period of four (4) years, with one-fourth of the options vesting on each anniversary of the grant date. The options were issued in exchange for services. This issuance is exempt from registration under the Act pursuant to section 4(2) as the options were issued by the Company to an accredited investor and did not involve any public offering.

On May 26, 2010, we issued options to purchase 375,000 shares of common stock at \$0.03125 per share to a director of the Company. These options expire seven (7) years after the grant date and vest over a period of three (3) years, with one-third of the options vesting on each anniversary of the grant date. The options were issued in exchange for services. This issuance is exempt from registration under the Act pursuant to section 4(2) as the options were issued by the Company to an accredited investor and did not involve any public offering.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]**Item 5. Other Information**

- (a) None.
- (b) There were no changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to our board of directors.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 17, 2007 ⁽¹⁾
3.2	Certificate of Change filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 15, 2010 ⁽²⁾
3.3	Articles of Merger filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 15, 2010 ⁽³⁾
3.4	Bylaws ⁽⁴⁾
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer*
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer*
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer*
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer*

* Filed herewith

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Issuer's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on January 29, 2008.

(2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).2 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010.

(3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).3 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010.

(4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Issuer's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on January 29, 2008.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GENESIS BIOPHARMA, INC.

By: /s/ Robert T. Brooke
Robert T. Brooke
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 16, 2010

By: /s/ Richard McKilligan
Richard McKilligan
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 16, 2010

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
------------------------	--------------------

3.1	Articles of Incorporation filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on September 17, 2007 ⁽¹⁾
3.2	Certificate of Change filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 15, 2010 ⁽²⁾
3.3	Articles of Merger filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on March 15, 2010 ⁽³⁾
3.4	Bylaws ⁽⁴⁾
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer*
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer*
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer*
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer*

* Filed herewith

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Issuer's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on January 29, 2008.

(2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).2 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010.

(3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i).3 to the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010.

(4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Issuer's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on January 29, 2008.

RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION

I, Robert T. Brooke, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Genesis Biopharma, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 16, 2010

/s/ Robert T. Brooke

Robert T. Brooke

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION

I, Richard McKilligan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Genesis Biopharma, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 16, 2010

/s/ Richard McKilligan

Richard McKilligan

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Genesis Biopharma, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert T. Brooke, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Robert T. Brooke

Robert T. Brooke
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
August 16, 2010

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Genesis Biopharma, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Richard McKilligan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Richard McKilligan

Richard McKilligan
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
August 16, 2010
